

| | | | | |
|---|------------------------|--|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/601,786 | | HUNKELER, TERESA | |
| | Examiner | | Art Unit | |
| | Chirag G. Shah | | 2616 | |

All Participants:

(1) Chirag G. Shah.

(2) Robert D. Leonard.

Date of Interview: 6 September 2007

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-3, 7 and 19

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

An agreement was reached between the Examiner and the Applicant to incorporate allowable portions of the claim 7 limitation into claims 1 and 19 via Examiner's Amendment as set forth.


Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

CHIRAG G. SHAH

PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER



 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)